THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.8741 of 2005

Dated:11.07.2006

Between:

Nand Kishore and others.

…Petitioners

and

The Collector, Ranga Reddy District, at Khairatabad, and others.

…Respondents

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.8741 of 2005

ORDER:

The Mandal Revenue Officer, Shamshabad Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, the third respondent herein, issued a notice, dated 11.04.2005, to the petitioners herein. The same reads as under.

> It is here by notice to the following persons who have been made patta in respect of land bearing Sy.Nos.171, 172, 173 measuring Acs.6-22 gts situated at Chinna Golconda Village vide MRO Progs.No.A/263/2005, Dt:17-03-2005, is to be cancelled. She is not in physical possession over the purchased land since the date of purchase represented by the pattadar Sri G.Venkat Reddy, S/o.Anantha Reddy R/o.Chinna Golconda Village. Hence your are here by directed to appear before the under signed on 21-04-2005 in the MRO office, Shamshabad, along with record, in person or through the advocate to hear the arguments failing which it will be deemed that he has got no objection in cancelling her patta. Action will be taken on material available in this office nothing will be heard after expiry of the above Date & Time.

It is now contended that the Mandal Revenue Officer has no suo

motu powers to initiate action for cancellation of the entries in the record of rights. This submission, however, cannot be accepted at this stage. The impugned notice is a mere notice and nothing prevents the petitioners to approach the Mandal Revenue Officer and file objections in relation to the alleged suo motu exercise taken up by the Mandal Revenue Officer. The Writ Petition is misconceived.

Giving liberty to the petitioners to raise all the objections in the impugned notice issued by the third respondent within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, and further observing that the Mandal Revenue Officer should dispose of the matter within a period of eight weeks thereafter, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

11.07.2006
vs